

What is the wavelength and wavenumber of an arbitrary state as a function of n ?

With this relation we can see an interesting effect of the periodic potential. Look at the plot of energy vs. n . If this were a free particle, the total energy would just be the potential in the well (which depends on what we define zero potential to be) plus the kinetic energy, which ought to increase as $p^2/2m = \hbar^2 k^2/2m$. In a periodic potential, it turns out that the energy does go as $U + A \cdot p^2$ (where U and A are constants) as long as we are close to the top or bottom of a band. But A is not equal to $1/2m$. As such we often define an “effective” mass m^* for the particle which is equal to $1/2A$. To find the effective mass, simply take the energy and wavenumber for two different states, write down the relation $E_n = U + \hbar^2 k_n^2/2m^*$ for the two states, and solve the two equations for the two unknowns (U and m^*). Do this in the space below using the $n = 0$ and $n = 5$ states. Instead of solving for m^* , however, solve for m^*/\hbar^2 , since that is what we entered into the computer simulation.

$$m^*/\hbar^2 = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

$$U = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

Now to ensure that the particle’s dispersion relation ($\omega(k)$ which is really the same thing as $E(p)$) really looks like a free particle by doing the same calculation but using the energy and wavenumber for the $n = 5$ and $n = 10$ states. Enter the values you get below:

$$m^*/\hbar^2 = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

$$U = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$$

Because the zero-point energy is fairly high compared to the top of the periodic potential, you should get an effective mass which is close to the real mass. For this problem we were near the bottom of the lowest band. If you are near the top of the band, the dispersion relation has the opposite curvature, and you actually get a negative effective mass!

If you are curious, you could enter a square well potential (`1-squarepulse(x)`) and see that the energy levels appear to be quadratic in n which is just proportional to k .