Pressure tuning of structure, superconductivity, and novel magnetic order in the Ce-underdoped electron-doped cuprate $T' \text{Pr}_{1.3-x} \text{La}_{0.7} \text{Ce}_x \text{CuO}_4$ ($x = 0.1$)
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High-pressure neutron powder diffraction, muon-spin rotation, and magnetization studies of the structural, magnetic, and the superconducting properties of the Ce-underdoped superconducting (SC) electron-doped cuprate system with the Nd$_2$CuO$_4$ (the so-called $T$) structure $T' \text{Pr}_{1.3-x} \text{La}_{0.7} \text{Ce}_x \text{CuO}_4$ with $x = 0.1$ are reported. A strong reduction of the in-plane and out-of-plane lattice constants is observed under pressure. However, no indication of any pressure-induced phase transition from $T$ to the $K_2\text{NiF}_4$ (the so-called $T$) structure is observed up to the maximum applied pressure of $p = 11$ GPa. Large and nonlinear increase of the short-range magnetic order temperature $T_\text{N}$ in $T' \text{Pr}_{1.3-x} \text{La}_{0.7} \text{Ce}_x \text{CuO}_4$ ($x = 0.1$) was observed under pressure. Simultaneous pressure causes a nonlinear decrease of the SC transition temperature $T_c$. All these experiments establish the short-range magnetic order as an intrinsic and competing phase in SC $T' \text{Pr}_{1.3-x} \text{La}_{0.7} \text{Ce}_x \text{CuO}_4$ ($x = 0.1$). The observed pressure effects may be interpreted in terms of the improved nesting conditions through the reduction of the in-plane and out-of-plane lattice constants upon hydrostatic pressure.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One of the most important unresolved problems in high-transition-temperature ($T_c$) superconductors [1] is the determination of the microscopic state when charge carriers, either holes [1] or electrons [2–7], are introduced to the CuO$_2$ planes of their insulating long-range antiferromagnetically ordered parent compounds [8]. One school of thought suggests that the doped charge carriers segregate into inhomogeneous patterns, such as stripes (spin and charge orders), to allow the antiferromagnetic (AFM) regions to survive [9]. In this picture, the observed quasi two-dimensional (2D) incommensurate spin density wave (SDW) in hole-doped high-$T_c$ superconductors, such as La$_{2−x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ [10–15] and La$_2$CuO$_{4+δ}$ [16], is due to remnants of the AFM insulating phase that compete with superconductivity [17,18]. While the charge density wave (CDW) has emerged as a universal feature of hole-doped ($p$-type) cuprates [10,19–24], observation of charge ordering in the electron-doped ($n$-type) cuprate system Nd$_{2−x}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ (NCCO) with $x = 0.14 − 0.15$ was reported only very recently [25]. Note that in $n$-type cuprates there are only a limited number of comprehensive studies on SDW/CDW order and their interplay with superconductivity and structural properties [25–31], underscoring a need for further experimental studies of $n$-type cuprates.

$\text{Pr}_{1.3-x} \text{La}_{0.7} \text{Ce}_x \text{CuO}_4$ is an $n$-type cuprate system that has recently been the focus of increased experimental investigation. The representations of two possible crystal structures, $T$ and $T'$, for $\text{Pr}_{1.3-x} \text{La}_{0.7} \text{Ce}_x \text{CuO}_4$ are shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively. The $T$ structure is $I4/mmm$, Nd$_2$CuO$_4$-type and the $T'$ structure is $I4/mmm$, K$_2\text{NiF}_4$-type. Cuprates with $T'$ crystal structure have no apical oxygen $O_{ap}$ above or below the copper ions of the CuO$_2$ plane [30], as shown in Fig. 1(a). The interesting characteristics of the electron-doped $T'$ cuprates is that as-grown samples contain excess oxygen at the apical site. The apical oxygen is believed to induce disorder of the electrostatic potential in the CuO$_2$ plane, leading to the destruction of Cooper pairs [32,33]. Therefore, completely removing the excess oxygen from as-grown samples is crucial to unveil intrinsic properties of the $T'$ cuprates. Interestingly, in T'-Nd$_{2−x}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ thin films, superconductivity is achieved at any doping $x$ from 0.00 to 0.20 [34] by oxygen reduction annealing. This was claimed to be generic to $T'$ cuprates with no apical oxygen. The role of the reduction process in the superconductivity of electron-doped cuprates has been a long-standing unsolved problem. Although the reduction annealing process is widely believed to involve removal of the apical oxygen, Raman, infrared transmission, and ultrasound studies on NCCO and Pr$_{2−x}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ (PCCO) suggest that the reduction process removes the oxygen in the CuO$_2$ plane [35,36] at high Ce doping ($x > 0.1$) and the apical oxygen at low Ce doping. In this case, the in-plane oxygen defect created by the reduction is believed to be...
responsible for superconductivity by destroying the long-range antiferromagnetism and increasing the mobility of charge carriers. It was also shown [37] that the microscopic process of oxygen reduction repairs Cu deficiencies in the as-grown materials and creates oxygen vacancies in the stoichiometric CuO2 planes, effectively reducing disorder and providing itinerant carriers for superconductivity. So far, there is no consensus as to which of the above-mentioned scenarios is relevant to explain the reduction annealing process.

Recent angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) experiments [38] in superconducting (SC) single crystals of Ce-underdoped T’-Pr1−xLa0.7Ce1−xCuO4 (x = 0.1) with the SC transition temperature Tc = 27 K, prepared through the improved reduction annealing [26,39], revealed the complete suppression of the AFM pseudogap at the “hot spots” [38], i.e., the intersecting points of the paramagnetic Fermi surface and the AFM Brillouin-zone boundary. This suggests that through improved annealing procedure, the long-range AFM phase is removed from the underdoped regime (see Fig. 2). The question arises as to whether the magnetic order is fully suppressed in improved annealed T’-Pr1−xLa0.7Ce1−xCuO4 or it exhibits short-range magnetic order which cannot be seen by ARPES, since it cannot directly probe magnetism. In general, a fundamental question in electron-doped cuprates is whether SDW, CDW, or stripe phases [40] are emerging together with superconductivity as observed in the hole-doped cuprates. Interestingly, the presence of short-range magnetic order below ~50 K in the SC T’-Pr1−xLa0.7Ce1−xCuO4 (x = 0.1) was demonstrated using muon-spin rotation (μSR) experiments, implying that the reduction annealing gives rise to the change of the long-range AFM order to a short-range magnetic order [26]. This is schematically demonstrated in Fig. 2, where we show the dependence of the magnetic and SC transition temperatures as a function of the sample annealing temperature. Driving the long-range AFM state to short-range magnetic state by annealing was also previously reported for Pr1.08La0.12CuO4 [41]. Understanding the nature of this short-range magnetic order (i.e., whether it is intrinsic and related to the similar SDW, CDW, or stripelike order, as observed in hole-doped cuprates) and its relation to superconductivity is a main motivation of this work.

An important experimental parameter to tune the physical properties of the system is the hydrostatic pressure. In n-type cuprates, only a limited number of pressure effects studies exist [42–47]. To the best of our knowledge no pressure effect studies of the AFM order in n-type cuprates have been reported so far.

In this paper, we report on the results of high-pressure μSR [48,49], neutron powder diffraction, and ac and dc susceptibility experiments for polycrystalline samples of the improved reduction annealed T’-Pr1−xLa0.7Ce1−xCuO4 (x = 0.1). Remarkably, substantial increase of the short-range magnetic order transition temperature Tσ in T’-Pr1−xLa0.7Ce1−xCuO4 (x = 0.1) was observed, providing an example of a giant pressure effect on magnetism in electron-doped cuprates. We also found that the pressure effects have opposite signs for Tσ and Tc, providing direct evidence for the competition between superconductivity and short-range magnetic order in this system. The pressure experiments strongly suggest that the short range magnetic order is an intrinsic part of the phase diagram and is controlled by the Fermi surface properties of T’-Pr1−xLa0.7Ce1−xCuO4 (x = 0.1). Thus, a competing phase to superconductivity in T’-Pr1−xLa0.7Ce1−xCuO4 (x = 0.1) has been demonstrated.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A. Sample preparation and characterization

The details of the synthesis of the polycrystalline samples of T’-Pr1−xLa0.7Ce1−xCuO4 were reported previously

FIG. 1. Representations of both T’ (a) and T structures (b) of Pr1.3−xLa0.7Ce1−xCuO4. T’ structure is I4/mmm, Nd2CuO4-type and T structure is I4/mmm, K2NiF4-type.

FIG. 2. The long-range AFM, the short-range magnetic, and the superconducting transition temperatures of T’-Pr1.3−xLa0.7Ce1−xCuO4 (x = 0.1) as a function of the sample annealing temperature in vacuum. The arrow indicates the sample studied in the present work [26].
B. Pressure cells for μSR, neutron powder diffraction, and magnetization experiments

Pressures up to 2.3 GPa were generated in a double-wall piston-cylinder type of cell made of MP35N material, especially designed to perform μSR experiments under pressure [48,49]. As a pressure-transmitting medium Daphne oil was used. The pressure was measured by tracking the SC transition of a very small indium plate by ac susceptibility. The amount of pressure was measured by tracking the SC transition of susceptibility. For this particular sample, the imaginary susceptibility or out-of-phase component (the imaginary part of susceptibility) was measured. The pressure effects on the structural properties of 

III. RESULTS

A. High-pressure neutron powder diffraction experiments

A previous high-pressure x-ray study up to 0.6 GPa in undoped Nd2CuO4 and optimally doped Nd1.835Ce0.165CuO4 [44] showed a decrease of the lattice parameters under pressure. Higher pressure experiments showed that in the parent compounds Nd2CuO4 [45] and Pr2CuO4 [46] a T′-to-T structural transition takes place at 21.5 GPa and 15.1 GPa, respectively. Recently, it was shown for Nd1.835Ce0.165CuO4 [47] that the transformation of T′ to T phase takes place at 2.7 GPa, i.e., at much lower pressure than for the parent compounds. This implies that the knowledge of the structural details of electron-doped cuprates under pressure is important in better understanding the pressure evolution of magnetic and superconducting properties. Below we show neutron diffraction data for the present Ce-underdoped electron-doped cuprate T′-Pr1.3La0.7Ce0.1CuO4 under pressure as high as p = 11 GPa.

The crystal structure of Pr1.3−xLa0.7Ce0.1CuO4 (x = 0.1) at room temperature (RT) (see Fig. 3) was well refined through Rietveld refinements to the raw neutron diffraction data using the program GSAS-II [53], employing the tetragonal I4/mmm space group (Nd2CuO4 type). An example of the refinement profile is shown in Fig. 3. The weighted fit residual is Rwp ≈ 2.8%, indicating a fairly good refinement. No obvious secondary phase, including the T-structural phase, can be detected, pointing to a pure T′ phase in the studied Pr1.3−xLa0.7Ce0.1CuO4 (x = 0.1) sample. Figure 4 shows the simulated Bragg peaks for the T and T′ structures of Pr1.3−xLa0.7Ce0.1CuO4 (x = 0.1). The crystal structure data for the simulations of T and T′ structures are taken from the Refs. [46] and [54], respectively. The following lattice constants and the width parameters are used for the simulations: a = b = 3.654(8)Å, c = 12.546(7)Å, u = 0.05, v = −0.06, w = 0.07 for T structure, and a = b = 3.9588(3)Å, c = 12.234(6)Å, u = 0.05, v = −0.06, w = 0.07 for T′ structure. There are obvious differences between the patterns, shown in Fig. 4, especially in the d-spacing range of 2.5−4 Å. In case of T structure, the high-intensity Bragg peaks (112), (110), and (101) as well as the much weaker (103) and (004) peaks are expected. These differences would make it possible to observe the transition between T′ and T structures.
The solid lines are linear fits of the data. The data are corresponding to T in the d-spacing range as in panel (a). (c) The (004) Bragg reflection, recorded at 300 K at various hydrostatic pressures, illustrating the continuous shift of the (004) Bragg peaks from the T structure in Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.1$) measured at various pressures up to $p = 11$ GPa. We note that it is hard to achieve true ambient pressure conditions for the samples loaded to the DAC. The ambient pressure data shown in Fig. 3 is measured outside the cell. Then the sample was loaded and measured under pressures starting from 0.7 GPa and the data are shown in Fig. 5. A systematic shift of the peaks towards lower d spacing is observed under pressure, consistent with a monotonic reduction in the lattice constants. However, up to the maximum applied pressure of $p = 11$ GPa, there is no indication of the pressure-induced phase transition from T’ to T structure, as demonstrated by the simulated Bragg peaks from the T structure in Fig. 5(b). This points to the robustness of the T structure in Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.1$) in the investigated pressure range. The (004) Bragg peaks are shown separately in Fig. 5(c). By fitting with a Gaussian function, drawn with the black solid lines through the data, the lattice constant $c$ was estimated at various pressures. The pressure dependence of its relative shift $-\Delta c/c = (c(0)-c(p))/c(0)$ is shown in Fig. 5(d), showing the continuous decrease of the $c$ axis under pressure. Using the value of $c$ and the d spacing of the (103) Bragg peak, the lattice constant $a$ was obtained. The pressure evolution of the relative change in the $a$ axis $-\Delta a/a$ is shown in Fig. 5(d), revealing a similar linear pressure-induced reduction of the $a$

**FIG. 4.** Simulated Bragg peaks for the T and T’ structures, shown in the d-spacing range of 1.5–4 Å.

Figure 5(a) shows the evolution of the (112), (103), and (004) Bragg peaks from the T’ structure of Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.1$), recorded at various pressures up to $p = 11$ GPa. The data are corresponding to T’ structure. (b) Simulated Bragg peaks for the T structure of Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$, shown in the same d-spacing range as in panel (a). (c) The (004) Bragg reflection, recorded at 300 K at various hydrostatic pressures, illustrating the continuous shift of the $c$-axis peak towards the lower d-spacing with increasing the pressure. The solid lines represent the Gaussian fits to the data. (d) Pressure dependence of the relative pressure shifts $\Delta a/a$ and $\Delta c/c$ for the lattice parameters $a$ and $c$ of Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.1$) at $T = 300$ K. The solid lines are linear fits of the data.

**FIG. 5.** (a) The (112), (103), and (004) Bragg peaks of T’-Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.1$), recorded at various pressures up to $p = 11$ GPa. The data are corresponding to T’ structure. (b) Simulated Bragg peaks for the T structure of Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$, shown in the same d-spacing range as in panel (a). (c) The (004) Bragg reflection, recorded at 300 K at various hydrostatic pressures, illustrating the continuous shift of the $c$-axis peak towards the lower d-spacing with increasing the pressure. The solid lines represent the Gaussian fits to the data. (d) Pressure dependence of the relative pressure shifts $\Delta a/a$ and $\Delta c/c$ for the lattice parameters $a$ and $c$ of Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ ($x = 0.1$) at $T = 300$ K. The solid lines are linear fits of the data.
The solid lines represent fits to the data by means of Eqs. (2) and (3). These results point to a nearly isotropic compression of the lattice in T’-Pr1.3−xLa0.7CexCuO4 (x = 0.1).

B. Zero-field (ZF) and weak-transverse-field (WTF) μSR experiments

Figure 6(a) shows representative ZF μSR time spectra for polycrystalline T’-Pr1.3−xLa0.7CexCuO4 (x = 0.1), recorded at various temperatures. At high temperatures, only a very weak depolarization of the μSR signal is observed. This weak depolarization reflects the occurrence of a small Gaussian Kubo-Toyabe depolarization, originating from the interaction of the muon spin with randomly oriented nuclear magnetic moments. In addition, small Pr3+ moments, which are dense and randomly distributed, also contribute to this relaxation [55,56]. Upon lowering the temperature the relaxation rate of the μSR signal increases due to the development of the Cu-spin correlation. No muon-spin precession is observed even at the lowest temperature T = 5 K and only a rapidly depolarizing μSR signal is observed. The fast depolarization of the μSR signal (with no trace of an oscillation) is due to a broad distribution of static fields. This is supported by the fact that ZF μSR signal observed at temperatures below 50 K shows the recovery of asymmetry at longer times [see Fig. 6(a)], which is typical for static magnetically ordered systems. Static short-range magnetic order in the single crystal of T’-Pr1.3−xLa0.7CexCuO4 (x = 0.1) was previously established by ZF μSR and longitudinal field (LF) μSR experiments [26]. In the following, we present how the magnetic and the SC properties of T’-Pr1.3−xLa0.7CexCuO4 (x = 0.1) evolve with hydrostatic pressure.

Figures 7(a) and 7(b) show the ambient and highest pressure (p = 2.3 GPa) ZF μSR spectra of T’-Pr1.3−xLa0.7CexCuO4 (x = 0.1), recorded at 5 K and 40 K, respectively. It is clear that upon application of pressure magnetic response is enhanced both at 5 K and 40 K. To get the quantitative information about the pressure effects, the μSR data in the whole temperature range were analyzed by decomposing the signal into a contribution of the sample and a contribution of the pressure cell, since in the high-pressure μSR experiments, a substantial fraction of the μSR asymmetry originates from muons stopping in the MP35N pressure cell surrounding the sample:

\[ A(t) = A_S(t) + A_P(t), \]

where \( A_S(t) \) and \( A_P(t) \) are the asymmetries, belonging to the sample and the pressure cell, respectively. The pressure cell signal was analyzed by a damped Kubo-Toyabe function [48,49]. The response of the sample [see Fig. 6(a)] is analyzed by the following function [57,58]:

\[ A_S(t) = [A_\| e^{-\lambda_\| t} + A_{\perp} e^{-\lambda_\perp t}] e^{-\sigma N t^2/2}. \]

\( \lambda_\| \) and \( \lambda_\perp \) are the relaxation rates characterizing the damping of the transversal and the longitudinal components of the μSR signal, respectively. The transversal relaxation rate \( \lambda_\| \) is a measure of the width of the static magnetic field distribution at the muon site and also reflects dynamical effects (spin fluctuations). The longitudinal relaxation rate \( \lambda_\perp \) is determined by dynamic magnetic fluctuations only [57,58]. \( \sigma N \) is the Gaussian relaxation rate, caused by the nuclear spins and the small Pr3+ moments. \( A_\perp \) and \( A_\| \) are the fractions of the transversal and the longitudinal relaxing components of the asymmetry signal, respectively. The ratio \( A_\perp/A_\| \) reveals the average degree to which the muon-spin polarization \( P_\mu \) aligns with the local field. In a fully magnetic polycrystalline sample, with a static component to the local field, because the crystallites orient randomly with respect to \( P_\mu \), \( A_\perp/A_{\text{tot}} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{\text{tot}} = A_\perp + A_\|) \), the isotropic average perpendicular component of the muon spin. The total initial asymmetry \( A_{\text{tot}} = A_S(0) + A_{\perp} \approx 0.28 \) is a temperature-independent constant. A typical fraction of muons stopped in the sample was \( A_S(0)/A_{\text{tot}} \approx 0.40(3) \), which was assumed to be temperature-independent in the analysis. The μSR time spectra were analyzed using the free software package MUSRFIT [59].

Figure 8 summarizes the results of the above analysis. Namely, in Figs. 8(a) and 8(b), we show the temperature dependences of the ratio \( A_\perp/A_{\text{tot}} \) and the transverse relaxation rate
\[ \lambda_T \] as well as the longitudinal relaxation rate \( \lambda_L \), respectively, for \( T\text{-Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{-La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) \( (x = 0.1) \), measured at \( p = 0 \text{ GPa} \) and \( 2.3 \text{ GPa} \). In the present case, \( A_\perp /A_{\text{tot}} \) starts to increase below \( T_{so} \approx 45 \text{ K} \) and reaches the value of \( \lambda_T \approx 0.8 \) at the base temperature. Note that the obtained value \( A_\perp /A_{\text{tot}} \approx 0.8 \) is higher than the value of \( \frac{1}{2} \), expected for the polycrystalline sample. This may be related to some preferred orientation in our sample. Since \( T\text{-Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{-La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) \( (x = 0.1) \) has a two-dimensional structure (actually, the single crystal always grows in the direction parallel to the CuO\(_2\) plane), even in powdered sintered pellets, there might be some preferred orientation. On the other hand, this could also be related to the existence of some fraction of fluctuating Cu spins, which was previously discussed [26]. The high value of \( A_\perp /A_{\text{so}} \) indicates that the short-range magnetic order occupies nearly the whole volume of this superconducting \( T\text{-Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{-La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) \( (x = 0.1) \). \( \lambda_T \), characterizing the distribution of local fields, shows monotonous increase with decreasing the temperature below \( T_{so} \approx 45 \text{ K} \). \( \lambda_L \), characterizing the muon-spin relaxation due to fluctuating magnetic fields, also shows a clear peak at \( T_{so} \), which is typical for the magnetic phase transition. Note that in the ordered state the values of \( \lambda_L \) are almost zero, consistent with the presence of dominant static magnetic order in \( T\text{-Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{-La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) \( (x = 0.1) \). It is evident from Fig. 8(a) that the magnetic fraction reaches 100% at low \( T \) for both pressures. In addition, the magnetic order temperature \( T_{so} \) in \( T\text{-Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{-La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) \( (x = 0.1) \) increases substantially, by \( \sim 15 \text{ K} \), at the highest applied pressure of \( p \approx 2.3 \text{ GPa} \). The pressure-induced enhancement of \( T_{so} \) can also be seen from the temperature dependences of \( \lambda_T \) and \( \lambda_L \), shown in Fig. 8(b).

In order to gain more insight into the above short-range magnetic transition, TF-\( \mu \)SR experiments in weak-transverse field were carried out. Figure 6(b) shows a representative weak-transverse-field (WTF) \( \mu \)SR time spectra for polycrystalline \( T\text{-Pr}_{1.3-x}\text{-La}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_x\text{CuO}_4 \) \( (x = 0.1) \), recorded at various temperatures. This clearly shows the reduction of the amplitude of the \( \mu \)SR signal upon lowering the temperature below \( \sim 50 \text{ K} \), indicating the appearance of the magnetic order. The WTF-\( \mu \)SR spectra, shown in Fig. 6(b) were fitted in the time domain with a slowly relaxing signal, with the precession frequency corresponding to the applied field of \( \mu_0 H = 3 \text{ mT} \):

\[
A(t) = A_S(t) + A_{PC}(t) = (A_S e^{-\lambda_T t} + A_{PC} e^{-\lambda_L t}) \cos(\gamma_B t + \varphi),
\]
where \( t \) is time after muon implantation, \( \phi \) is the phase, \( A(t) \) is the time-dependent asymmetry, and \( \gamma / (2\pi) \approx 135.5 \text{ MHz/T} \) is the muon gyromagnetic ratio. \( A_s(t) \) is the amplitude of the oscillating component (related to the paramagnetic volume fraction of the sample). \( \lambda_s \) is an exponential damping rate due to paramagnetic spin fluctuations and nuclear dipolar moments. \( B \) is the applied magnetic field, experienced by the muons stopped in the paramagnetic part of the sample. From these refinements, the paramagnetic volume fraction at each temperature \( T \) was estimated as \( A_p'(T)/A_p'(0) \), where \( A_p'(0) \) is the amplitude in the paramagnetic phase at high temperature. \( A_{PC} \) and \( \lambda_{PC} \) are the amplitude and the relaxation rate of the pressure cell signal. Pressure cell amplitude was estimated to be \( A_{PC} = 0.17 \) and it was kept constant as a function of temperature in the analysis. Note that the data, shown in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b), are recorded without the pressure cell \( A_{PC} = 0 \).

Figure 9 shows the normalized WTF-\( \mu \)SR asymmetry \( A_s'(T)/A_s'(0) \) for \( \text{T}^\prime$-Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ \( (x = 0.1) \) extracted from the \( \mu \)SR spectra, shown in Fig. 6(b) [following Eq. (3)], as a function of temperature for ambient and selected applied pressures in an applied field of \( \mu_0H = 3 \text{ mT} \). For \( p = 0 \text{ GPa} \) and \( T > 50 \text{ K} \), \( A_s'(T)/A_s'(0) \) saturates nearly at a maximum value, indicating that nearly the whole sample is in the paramagnetic state, and all the muon spins precess in the applied magnetic field. Below \( 50 \text{ K} \), \( A_s'(T)/A_s'(0) \) continuously decreases with decreasing temperature. The reduction of \( A_s'(T)/A_s'(0) \) signals the appearance of magnetic order, where the muon spins experience a local magnetic field larger than the applied magnetic field. As a result, the fraction of muons in the paramagnetic state decreases. The onset temperature \( T_{so\text{onset}} \) is defined as the temperatures where the linearly extrapolated low- and high-temperature data points intersect. The midpoint of the transition \( (T_{so}) \) was determined by using the phenomenological function [59,60]

\[
A_s'(T)/A_s'(0) = k \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{\exp[(T - T_{so})/\Delta T_{so}] + 1} \right] + l,
\]

where \( \Delta T_{so} \) is the width of the transition, whereas \( k \) and \( l \) are empirical parameters. Analyzing the \( p = 0 \text{ GPa} \) data in Fig. 9 with Eq. (4) yields \( T_{so} = 30(1) \text{ K} \), which is indicated by the cross in Fig. 9. Remarkably, a strong and nonlinear increase of \( T_{so} \) in \( \text{T}^\prime$-Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ \( (x = 0.1) \) is observed under pressure.

C. High-pressure magnetic susceptibility data

Figure 10 shows the temperature dependence of dc magnetic susceptibility \( \chi_{ZFC} \) for \( \text{T}^\prime$-Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ \( (x = 0.1) \) at ambient and at various applied hydrostatic pressures up to \( p \approx 2.1 \text{ GPa} \) after subtraction of the background signal from the empty pressure cell. Note that zero-field-cooled (ZFC) dc susceptibility \( \chi_{ZFC} \) was measured in a magnetic field of \( \mu_0H = 0.5 \text{ mT} \) using the DAC, where only very tiny amount of sample is used.

At \( p = 0 \text{ GPa} \), the sample \( \text{T}^\prime$-Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ \( (x = 0.1) \) shows superconductivity with \( T_c \approx 27 \text{ K} \). The magnitude of \( \chi_{ZFC} \) is \( -0.49(3) \times 10^{-2} \text{ (emu/g)} \) at \( T = 10 \text{ K} \), providing a lower limit of 49(3)% for the SC volume fraction. This implies the bulk character of superconductivity in the sample. The fact that we get 49% for the SC volume fraction and not 100% is due to the use of polycrystalline samples in which the Meissner diamagnetism is reduced by the magnetic penetration from the surface of the sample. For the same reason, the SC volume fraction estimated from the Meissner fraction using powder samples reaches only 30% in the optimally doped La$_{2-x}$Sr$_x$CuO$_4$ [61]. One more indirect but strong evidence for the bulk superconductivity
in $T$-$Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}CeCuO_4$ ($x = 0.1$) is that the specific heat on single-crystalline samples, reduced in the same procedure as the present $Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}CeCuO_4$ powder, reveals the SC volume fraction of more than 60% [26]. Obviously, the surface area is larger in a powder than in a single crystal, so we naturally expect the powders reduced more than single crystals, resulting in the comparable or larger SC volume fraction in powder samples. $T_c$ shows the modest decrease with increasing pressure, i.e., it decreases from $T_c \approx 27$ K to $T_c \approx 23$ K at $p \approx \pm 2.1$ GPa. On the other hand, application of pressure leads to the substantial decrease of the diamagnetic response, resulting in difficulties of observing the SC response above $p \approx \pm 2.1$ GPa. It is difficult to conclude whether superconductivity in $T$-$Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}CeCuO_4$ ($x = 0.1$) is either fully suppressed above $p \approx \pm 2.1$ GPa or becomes filamentary.

**IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

In order to compare the influence of pressure on the superconductivity and short-range magnetic order in $T$-$Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}CeCuO_4$ ($x = 0.1$), the pressure dependences of $T_{so}$ ($T_{so}^{onset}$) and $T_c$ are shown in Fig. 11(a). Moreover, the pressure dependences of $\chi'$ and $\chi_{ZFC}$, normalized to its ambient pressure value, are shown in Fig. 11(b). The most essential findings of the present work are the following: (1) The short-range magnetic order temperature $T_{so}$ in $T$-$Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}CeCuO_4$ ($x = 0.1$) exhibits the strong and nonlinear positive pressure effect. $T_{so}$ is nearly constant in the pressure range between 0 and 0.8 GPa, increasing at higher pressure. For the highest applied pressure of $p \approx \pm 2.3$ GPa the $T_{so}$ increases by $\approx 12$ K. Note that the magnetic order remains short range even at $p \approx \pm 2.3$ GPa. (2) The SC transition temperature $T_c$ in $T$-$Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}CeCuO_4$ ($x = 0.1$) shows the nonlinear negative pressure effect. Likewise $T_{so}$, $T_c$ is constant in the pressure range between 0 and 0.8 GPa. However, above $p \approx 0.8$ GPa, $T_c$ decreases instead of the observed increase for $T_{so}$. For the applied pressure of $p \approx \pm 2.1$ GPa, $T_c$ decreases by $\approx 3-4$ K. Moreover, the diamagnetic response decreases substantially and nonlinearly with increasing pressure, and above $p \approx \pm 2.1$ GPa, no diamagnetic signal is observed using bulk sensitive techniques. This means that the superconductivity in $T$-$Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}CeCuO_4$ ($x = 0.1$) is either suppressed fully or becomes filamentary above $p \approx \pm 2.1$ GPa. Antagonistic pressure behavior between $T_{so}$ and $T_c$ gives direct evidence for the competition between superconductivity and the short-range magnetic order in $T$-$Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}CeCuO_4$ ($x = 0.1$). It is interesting that the magnetic fraction is nearly pressure independent, while the SC fraction reduces substantially. This is different from hole-doped cuprates with the static spin and charge order in which $\mu$SR observes phase separation between magnetism and superconductivity [15,18]. In addition, the magnetic order in $T$-$Pr_{1.3-x}La_{0.7}CeCuO_4$ ($x = 0.1$) remains short range up to the highest applied pressure, which probably suggests that the superconductivity is not suppressed above $p \approx \pm 2.1$ GPa, but it becomes filamentary and still inhibits the long-range magnetic order in the system.

Additional results provide important clues of how pressure may induce the short changes in magnetic and SC properties. In the closely related compounds $Ln_{2-x}CeCuO_4$ ($Ln$ = Nd, Sm, and Eu) (where isovalent substitution of Nd by Sm and Eu simulates a condition generally referred to as “chemical pressure,” that is, both in-plane and out-of-plane lattice constants become small), ARPES and first-principles electronic-structure calculations revealed that the chemical pressure (the variation of the in-plane and out-of-plane lattice constants) has a great influence on the electronic structures and on the Fermi-surface shape [62]. Namely, reduction of the in-plane lattice constant causes the reduction of $t^\prime / t$, where $t$ and $t^\prime$ denote transfer integrals between the nearest-neighbor and next-nearest-neighbor Cu sites and enhancement of AFM order. It was suggested that reduced $t^\prime / t$ enhances the AFM order through strengthened nesting, resulting in the increased gap in the nodal region. As we have shown through neutron powder diffraction experiments, hydrostatic pressure causes the continuous reduction of the in-plane and out-of-plane...
lattice constants in $\text{T} \cdot \text{Pr}_{1.3-x} \cdot \text{La}_{0.7} \cdot \text{Ce}_x \cdot \text{Cu}_4 \text{O}_4$ \((x = 0.1)\), which, according to the above discussion, might also improve nesting and enhance the AFM order, leading to the increase of \(T_{\text{so}}\) and decrease of \(T_{\text{c}}\). Thus, we suggest that the short-range magnetic order and the strong increase of \(T_{\text{so}}\) is intrinsic and is controlled by the Fermi surface properties of $\text{T} \cdot \text{Pr}_{1.3-x} \cdot \text{La}_{0.7} \cdot \text{Ce}_x \cdot \text{Cu}_4 \text{O}_4$ \((x = 0.1)\).

It is important to draw a parallel between the observation of short-range magnetic order at \(T_{\text{so}} \simeq 45 \text{ K}\) in $\text{T} \cdot \text{Pr}_{1.3-x} \cdot \text{La}_{0.7} \cdot \text{Ce}_x \cdot \text{Cu}_4 \text{O}_4$ \((x = 0.1)\) and the recent findings in the related SC n-type cuprate Nd$_{2-x}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ [25,63–66]. The existence of charge ordering with a short correlation length (15 to 27 Å) was reported for Nd$_{2-x}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ [25]. Time-resolved reflectivity studies in SC Nd$_{2-x}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ show the presence of a fluctuating order below \(\sim 75 \text{ K}\), although they could not determine which electronic degrees of freedom (i.e., charge or spin) were responsible for such order [64]. In this SC Nd$_{2-x}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$, resonant inelastic x-ray scattering measurements [65,66] have also recently shown the presence of an inelastic mode. All these observations suggest that some new phases are emerging together with superconductivity in electron-doped cuprates. The current results are in line with this suggestion. Additionally, we demonstrated the competing nature of the short-range magnetically ordered state to superconductivity in $\text{T} \cdot \text{Pr}_{1.3-x} \cdot \text{La}_{0.7} \cdot \text{Ce}_x \cdot \text{Cu}_4 \text{O}_4$ \((x = 0.1)\). Our discovery of short-range magnetic order may contribute in understanding the complex electron-doped cuprate phase diagram.

In conclusion, hydrostatic pressure effects on short-range magnetic order and superconductivity in electron-doped cuprate T·Pr$_{1.3-x}$La$_{0.7}$Ce$_x$CuO$_4$ \((x = 0.1)\) were investigated by combining high-pressure \(\mu\text{SR}\) and ac as well as dc susceptibility experiments. At all applied pressures, nearly the whole sample volume exhibits the short-range magnetic order. The short-range magnetic order temperature \(T_{\text{so}}\) exhibits a large positive pressure effect which has never been observed before for electron-doped cuprates. Furthermore, the observed pressure-induced shifts of \(T_{\text{so}}\) and the superconducting transition temperature \(T_{\text{c}}\) have opposite signs. Moreover, the strong reduction of the in-plane and out-of-plane lattice constants is observed under pressure. However, no indication of the pressure-induced phase transition from $\text{T}$ to $\text{T}$ structure is observed up to the maximum applied pressure of \(p = 11 \text{ GPa}\). These experiments establish the short-range magnetic order as an intrinsic part of the phase diagram and as a competing phase in electron-doped cuprate superconductor $\text{T} \cdot \text{Pr}_{1.3-x} \cdot \text{La}_{0.7} \cdot \text{Ce}_x \cdot \text{Cu}_4 \text{O}_4$ \((x = 0.1)\). The observed pressure effects may be interpreted by assuming strong pressure-induced changes on the electronic structure and the Fermi surface through the variation of the lattice constants. Namely, the improved nesting upon pressure might be a possible explanation for the enhancement of \(T_{\text{so}}\) and suppression of \(T_{\text{c}}\).
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