Experimental implementation of reverse time migration for nondestructive evaluation applications
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Abstract: Reverse time migration (RTM) is a commonly employed imaging technique in seismic applications (e.g., to image reservoirs of oil). Its standard implementation cannot account for multiple scattering/reverberation. For this reason it has not yet found application in nondestructive evaluation (NDE). This paper applies RTM imaging to NDE applications in bounded samples, where reverberation is always present. This paper presents a fully experimental implementation of RTM, whereas in seismic applications, only part of the procedure is done experimentally. A modified RTM imaging condition is able to localize scatterers and locations of disbonding. Experiments are conducted on aluminum samples with controlled scatterers.

© 2011 Acoustical Society of America
PACS numbers: 43.35.Zc, 43.40.Le, 43.60.Tj

1. Introduction

For many years, geophysicists have used reverse time migration (RTM) to image below the Earth’s surface.1–9 The RTM imaging technique employs forward and backward propagation phases as does the standard implementation of time reversal.10–12 The forward propagation phase of traditional RTM imaging in seismic applications utilizes a source that injects wave energy into the Earth while multiple receiver transducers are used to collect the reflected signals from scatterers of interest in the Earth. A numerical model of the subsurface region of the Earth where the experiment was conducted is then used to compute forward and backward propagation wave fields used in the imaging condition. The numerical model is based upon some necessary and simplifying assumptions, e.g., a certain degree of homogeneity of the propagation medium. Indeed, the scatterers’ locations are not known in advance and are the targets of the imaging procedure. The forward propagation is simulated in the model with the same source waveform used in the experiment and the wave field in the region of interest (ROI) is computed. The signals recorded experimentally by the receiver transducers are reversed in time and used as the sources for the backward propagation simulation. The wave field is then
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numerically recorded at the same points in the ROI for both forward and backward propagation simulations. A zero-lag cross-correlation of the forward and backward propagation wave fields, known as an imaging condition, is computed at each ROI point to form the image. A key limitation of traditional RTM imaging consists in the initial model assumptions: reverberation due to the actual finite size of the propagation domain and/or multiple scattering by the actual medium structure is usually encoded in the experimentally acquired signals and corrupts the quality of the image. Significant efforts have been dedicated in the fields of seismology and seismic imaging to cope with this intrinsic limitation. Useful approaches consist either in trying to filter out part of the reverberation/multiple scattering contributions to the experimental signals before using them for the backward propagation stage, with consequent limitations in which types of scatterers can be imaged, or in removing from the formed image the corresponding artifacts after their classification and detection. A further approach focuses on developing imaging conditions that take partially into account the reverberation/multiple scattering processes. However, the complete exploitation of reverberation/multiple scattering in RTM imaging conditions still remains an open challenge.

Laboratory scale applications of RTM for sound/ultrasound imaging and inspection are inevitably affected by the types of limitations noted above. Indeed, reverberation is almost always unavoidable. Lin and Yuan extended the application of RTM to nondestructive testing. Their experiments were conducted on an aluminum plate and followed the traditional RTM procedure utilizing a single reflection from the scatterer(s) of interest. Thus they computed the forward and backward propagation wave fields numerically with a model of the sample. The technique they presented could be extended to imaging of defects within a three dimensional sample. However, their sample needed to be large to avoid reverberation by applying appropriate time windowing of their signals. The difficulty with traditional RTM imaging of samples for nondestructive evaluation, as done by Lin and Yuan, is that the samples are often quite small and may have complex geometries giving rise to multiple scattering/reverberation and making a single scattered wave from a scatterer of interest difficult to distinguish in time.

In this paper we present a fully experimental implementation of RTM imaging where the forward and backward propagation wave fields are measured with a scanning laser vibrometer. Because we do not compute the wave field inside the sample under test, the proposed fully experimental RTM imaging technique is limited in application to surface features, near surface features (within an approximate half-wavelength acoustic penetration depth), features on the other side of a thin plate, and features embedded between two thin laminated plates. The experiments conducted in the paper investigate the third application area to show that objects located on the other side of a thin plate may be imaged even when that side is not physically accessible. We include multiple reflections in our recorded wave fields as is commonly done with standard time reversal, where multiple scattering actually enhances the imaging spatial resolution. As with time reversal techniques, RTM is limited in its spatial resolution by the diffraction limit (in the present case it is a half wavelength for the guided, bending wave in the plate). Time reversal may be used to image linear scatterers through a series of time reversal experiments and complex processing, or it may be used to directly image nonlinear scatterers (which are the sources of new frequency content). However, the typical aim of time reversal is to localize sources, whereas the aim of RTM is to directly image linear scatterers without the need for complex processing, or the requirement that the scatterers be nonlinear.

2. Method

Figure 1(a) depicts an illustration of a typical sample to be inspected by RTM. A source transducer $S$ emits a sinusoidal pulse of energy such as that shown in Fig. 1(b). The source pulse used in the experiments consisted of a 200 kHz pulse that was modulated by a sine squared envelope. As the wave energy traverses the medium, a laser...
vibrometer detects the out of plane velocity signals [sample waveform shown in Fig. 1(c) for the white colored point] at various points of a scanning grid in the ROI, denoted by the black dots in Fig. 1(a). These temporal signals form the three dimensional forward propagation matrix, $F_{x,y}(t)$, consist of spatial dimensions $x$ and $y$ and with time as the third dimension. This experiment is repeated many times at each point in the ROI to improve the signal to noise ratio through averaging. The forward propagation is also...
recorded [sample waveform shown in Fig. 1(d)] at one or more receiver transducers, \( R_i \), which form a time reversal mirror (TRM).\(^{10-12} \) The signals recorded by the TRM are then time reversed and emitted from the respective transducers in the TRM itself, now acting as sources. During this backward propagation phase, a laser vibrometer is again used to detect the out of plane vibration velocity at the same points in the ROI [sample waveform shown in Fig. 1(e) for the white colored point]. These temporal signals form the three dimensional backward propagation matrix, \( B_{x,y}(t) \).

As one may observe from Figs. 1(c) and 1(e), the individual reflections off scatterers and/or sample boundaries are indistinguishable within the time window and frequency bandwidth utilized. Thus the traditional RTM imaging method cannot be used. Instead we propose that the multiple reflections be exploited to take advantage of the repeated focusing on scatterers. The imaging condition that we propose departs from the traditionally used imaging condition, \( I_{x,y} \),

\[
I_{x,y} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{ \sum_{f_L}^{f_U} |\mathcal{F}(F_{x,y})| \mathcal{F}(B_{x,y}) \right\},
\]

where \( \mathcal{F} \) represents the Fourier transform, \( f_L \) represents the lowest frequency in the band of interest, and \( f_U \) represents the highest frequency in the band of interest. Here we propose to sum the magnitudes of the Fourier transform components rather than to sum the complex Fourier transform components and then take the magnitude. This modified imaging condition, \( M_{x,y} \), is computed as follows:

\[
M_{x,y} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left\{ \sum_{f_L}^{f_U} |\mathcal{F}(F_{x,y})| \mathcal{F}(B_{x,y}) \right\},
\]

This modified imaging condition effectively removes the effects of interference due to the multiple scattering. Further work is underway to fully explore the merits of this modified imaging condition.

### 3. Experiment

A hexagonal steel nut was glued (with Super Glue\textsuperscript{®}) onto an aluminum plate to demonstrate a fully experimental RTM imaging process in a highly scattering medium. The aluminum plate measured 0.84 mm \( \times \) 152 mm \( \times \) 195 mm in size. The wave field in the plate is dominated by dispersive transverse bending waves,\(^{32} \) due to the plate thickness and mode conversion, with wave speed, \( c_B \), governed by

\[
c_B = \left( \frac{\omega^2 E h^2}{12\rho(1-\sigma^2)} \right)^{1/4},
\]

where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency, \( E \) is the Young’s modulus of elasticity, \( \rho \) is the bulk density, and \( \sigma \) is the Poisson’s ratio. Equation (3) yields a value of approximately 1300 m/s (assuming \( E = 71 \) GPa, \( \rho = 2700 \) kg/m\(^3\), and \( \sigma = 0.33 \)). Seven 13 mm diameter, 2 mm thickness compressional piezoelectric transducers were glued onto the plate at distributed random locations. One of the transducers was utilized as a source and the other six comprised the TRM (as with time reversal, a higher number of TRM elements would improve the quality of RTM results). The source waveform is a sinusoidal pulse with a center frequency of 200 kHz, and a pulse length of 40 \( \mu \)s (central frequency wavelength is 6.5 mm, and frequency bandwidth is 100 kHz). The RTM procedure illustrated in Fig. 1 was carried out and the imaging condition given in Eq. (2) was computed for each point in the ROI (the ROI was just large enough to
include the nut). The laser vibrometer scanned the side of the plate opposite to the nut. The laser vibrometer scanned the side of the plate opposite to the nut. The laser vibrometer scanned the side of the plate opposite to the nut.

Figure 2 contains plots of the $I_{x,y}$ and $M_{x,y}$ images both without and with the nut glued to the plate. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) display $I_{x,y}$ without and with the nut, respectively. Figures 2(c) and 2(d) display $M_{x,y}$ without and with the nut, respectively. The points directly opposite of the nut are clear minima in the image. The reason for the local maxima at $x = 82$ mm, and $y = 35–36$ mm in Fig. 2(d) is unclear but may be due to speckle noise detected by the laser vibrometer due to an imperfect reflecting surface, or it may be a local disbonding region. Note the clear difference in amplitude between Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) and between Figs. 2(c) and 2(d) when the nut is present. Further, note the improvement of the image quality found in Fig. 2(d) relative to Fig. 2(b) in terms of the clear color contrast. The amplitude of the image without the nut is more uniform in Fig. 2(c) than in Fig. 2(a). We conclude that the $M_{x,y}$ images allow for easier detection of scatterers than the $I_{x,y}$ images.

An additional experiment was conducted to determine whether $M_{x,y}$ could distinguish points of imperfect bonding. A second hexagonal steel nut was glued onto the plate with two locations not having the same amount of glue. Figure 3(a) displays a photograph of the second nut removed from the plate after the experiment was completed. Note the two locations, denoted by red arrows, where there was insufficient glue. The experimental procedure used to obtain the $M_{x,y}$ images in Fig. 2 is used to image the partially disbonded nut. Figure 3(b) displays the $M_{x,y}$ image. The two
The disbonding locations are visible in the $M_{x,y}$ image at $x = 14$ mm and $y = 17$ mm, and at $x = 26$ mm and $y = 9$ mm and match the locations indicated in the photograph.

There is a finite amount of speckle noise in the RTM images as well, for example, the peak at $x = 82$ mm and $y = 35$ mm. The speckle noise shows up as local maxima and minima, yet the minima rarely approach the magnitude of the minima when a nut is imaged. Speckle noise does limit the imaging capacity of RTM, and further work could focus on quantifying this limit.

4. Conclusion

It has been shown that RTM imaging may be applied to the case where multiple reflections are included in the imaging condition. This work presents a fully experimental implementation of RTM imaging. The modified imaging condition [Eq. (2)] proposed in this work allows scatterers to be distinguished better than the standard imaging condition [Eq. (1)] does. The reason for the better performance of the modified imaging condition is currently unknown but is the subject of ongoing research. The performance of these imaging methods as a function of the number of TRM elements, length of time used to record at the TRM, spatial distribution of the TRM, and frequency bandwidth of the source is the subject of ongoing research as well. One may use this technique to locate scatterers on the other side of a thin plate. Additionally, one may locate regions of imperfect bonding for nondestructive testing applications. A more in depth analysis of the quality of the RTM image as compared to other time reversal imaging conditions is currently underway.
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